Evidence-Based Options to Improve Routine Immunization

JOSEPH L MATHEW

Advanced Pediatrics Centre, PGIMER, Chandigarh 160 012, India. jlmathew@rediffmail.com

RELEVANCE

Routine immunization is a cornerstone of public health, believed to save an estimated 2-3 million lives annually. Therefore, individual nations and international organizations lay considerable emphasis on robust vaccination programs. India is one of the few countries where universal routine childhood immunization is provided free of charge. Despite this impressive arrangement, immunization coverage through the National program remains unsatisfactorily low. This not only hampers disease control, but consequently diminishes public support for vaccination, and tarnishes the prestige of the program, setting up a vicious cycle. Similarly the occurrence of expected and unexpected adverse events can shake public and professional confidence.

Therefore, it is worthwhile to examine evidencebased interventions to enhance vaccination coverage or improve acceptability or increase effectiveness of the routine immunization program. The question (stated in the PICO format) addressed here is: "In order to improve routine immunization (*P*-problem), which interventions (that are feasible, cost-effective and can be integrated into the existing program) (I-intervention), can increase vaccination coverage/vaccination demand or improve acceptability or enhance cost-effectiveness or reduce side effects (O-outcomes), as compared to the current situation (*C-comparison*)."

CURRENT BEST EVIDENCE AND CRITICAL APPRAISAL

An exhaustive literature search in the Cochrane

INDIAN PEDIATRICS

Library and PubMed using the search strategy shown in *Table I* was conducted and updated on 28 September, 2009. Owing to the magnitude and public health importance of the question, systematic reviews were preferentially sought. A summary of current best evidence and critical appraisal is presented.

Improving Vaccination Coverage

A 2008 systematic review(1) of methods to improve immunization coverage screened over 11000 papers across three decades. The authors narrowed the list to 60, assessed methodological quality and rejected 35. The remaining studies included comparative trials, pre and post intervention comparisons and observational studies. After reviewing the data, 11 studies with interventions facilitating access to immunization were identified. The individual studies and the review itself had several methodological limitations (combining different study-designs, post-hoc selection of outcome,

 TABLE I
 Search
 Strategy
 For
 Systematic
 Reviews

 AND OUTPUT
 AND OUT

| Search term | Output | Relevant |
|-----------------------|--------|----------|
| Immunization | 22 | 3 |
| Vaccination | 31 | 3 |
| Vaccine | 95 | 4 |
| Cold chain | 3 | 2 |
| Injection | 82 | 3 |
| Routine immunization | 96 | 4 |
| Immunization coverage | 68 | 5 |
| Vaccination coverage | 59 | 3 |
| Cold chain | 25 | 2 |
| Injection pain | 10 | 3 |
| Vaccination pain | 16 | 3 |

absence of comparison, etc). However, all were conducted in developing countries and appraisal of quality was fairly rigorous.

One trial each from Ghana(2) and Mexico(3) reported significant increase in vaccination coverage when non-professional health-workers visited homes to mobilize the community for vaccination. A smaller Cambodian study(4) reported marginal increase in coverage when contractors were hired to improve vaccination coverage and equity. Four pre and post intervention studies demonstrated the benefit of out-reach immunization in schools(5), flexible immunization timings and venues(6), community mobilization through home visits(7,8), mass media campaign to communicate information(9) and reorganization of health-care facilities(10). Naturally, the findings are less robust than randomized controlled trials (RCTs).

Another narrative review examined almost 4000 publications on interventions to improve immunization coverage in developed countries(11). Despite being outdated by a decade, the strengths of the review are that the authors segregated studies based on design, undertook methodological appraisal, employed criteria for eligibility and included hard outcomes such as coverage and/or doses administered. They regarded the evidence to be strong if it originated from studies with suitable design, proper execution, sufficient effect size, showed consistent effects across studies and did not rely on 'expert opinion'. While the approaches (physician/provider education, community participation, clinic-based client education, reminder/recall systems, free vaccination, incentives, reducing out-of-pocket expenses for vaccination) are generally applicable in developing country settings, the specific intervention used in most of the studies is either not applicable, or did not show a statistically significant benefit that can justify the extra cost. Making vaccination mandatory for school/child-care/college entry improved coverage and also reduced disease morbidity to some extent.

Improving Vaccination Rates

A Cochrane review updated till 2008(12) examined five databases for randomized controlled trials,

controlled pre and post intervention studies, and interrupted time series studies on effectiveness of reminder/recall systems for improving vaccination rates. Meta-analysis of 15 studies in over 15000 participants showed that client reminders were effective for improving childhood vaccination rates (odds ratio 1.47, 95% CI 1.28-1.68). This result was consistent across various groups of vaccine recipients (children/adults/those receiving only influenza vaccine) and irrespective of the reminder method used (postal, letter, or telephonic). Combined physician plus client reminder also improved vaccination rates.

The usual methodological refinements of Cochrane reviews were present. However, one of the studies included in the meta-analysis was not a RCT and the authors did not perform sensitivityanalysis with methodologically superior trials. A re-analysis of four trials with adequate allocation concealment showed a similar result to the overall pooled estimate, suggesting robustness.

Improving the Cold-chain

Maintenance of the cold-chain is critical for the success of immunization programs. Although two systematic reviews addressed unexpected breaches temperature control, neither in addressed interventions to ensure cold chain efficiency. One review reported that despite the presence of trained vaccination officers at many points, optimal temperature control and recording thereof were lacking(13). Another reported that a significant proportion of vaccines underwent freezing at various links in the cold-chain(14). Although the data are not derived from India, both raise concerns because similar unanticipated cold-chain breaks could be occurring in our country, which could jeopardize the entire program, and, therefore call for stringent monitoring of the cold-chain, despite reassuring reports of cold-chain adequacy.

Increasing Vaccine Acceptability

Reducing pain during vaccination

Topical anesthetics, in particular pre-injection EMLA cream, reduce injection-related pain(15). As EMLA is safe in infants, and does not adversely

INDIAN PEDIATRICS

EURECA CONCLUSION IN THE INDIAN CONTEXT

- Routine immunization can be improved through judicious application of interventions to increase community
 participation, involve non-professional health workers, create vaccine demand, use a vaccination reminder/
 recall system and reduce undesirable side effects.
- These efficacious evidence-based interventions need to be tested in the Indian setting before adoption on a national scale.

affect vaccine immunogenicity; it is frequently used in developed countries. However, EMLA is expensive and requires time to act; hence is unsuitable for busy immunization sessions. Refinements in local anaesthetic application include less expensive, fast-acting sprays and other technically demanding procedures; all are unsuitable for routine immunization in India.

Non-pharmacological interventions include sweetened solutions such as sucrose water although it does not work well beyond 4-6 months of age. A combination of direct parental contact and sucrose seems to have an additive beneficial effect. However, sucrose water must be used cautiously owing to problems due to spoilage, storage and contamination. Breastfeeding and even nonnutritive sucking reduce pain in very young infants(15).

A meta-analysis(16) of pain management by distraction using various techniques (music, movies, non-procedural chatting, interactive toys, etc), showed a modest impact in decreasing distress behavior. Experts advise that age and cognitive maturity of children are important considerations to optimize results. Parental behaviour (maternal more than paternal) before and during the procedure affects infant behaviour significantly. Overly sympathetic, critical, apologetic or reassuring parents increase child distress. In contrast, humor and conversation (unrelated to the injection) were beneficial. Parents trained in reassurance ended up distressing themselves and their children more than parents trained to distract children by storytelling, reading aloud, deep breathing, and blowing.

Other potentially useful intervention such as using long thin needles, applying pressure at the injection site, giving multiple injections simultaneously rather than sequentially are not backed by robust evidence.

Reducing adverse effects associated with vaccination

A 2007 literature review(17) on prophylactic acetaminophen and ibuprofen for preventing adverse reactions following immunization identified five randomized trials Three reported that acetaminophen (10-15 mg/kg/dose) or ibuprofen (20 mg/kg/day) before/during and after immunization reduced fever, pain, fussiness, and local redness associated with DPT vaccination.

Increasing Vaccine Demand

A systematic review of 69 papers on the impact of mass media on health services utilization showed a positive impact by encouraging effective services and discouraging those of unproved effective-ness(18).

EXTENDIBILITY

Review of literature has identified several interventions improve routine that can immunization. These are of varying complexity, cost-intensity, technical feasibility, logistic difficulty and effectiveness. Assessment of extendibility includes much more than feasibility in the Indian setting. It includes value-based judgements (at the national, state and local levels) based on current vaccination coverage, recognition of predisposing factors for poor coverage, administrative structure. allocation of responsibility, utilization of resources (manpower, material and finances), competing demands, and perception of the community, lay press, and nonprofessional workers. For these reasons, highly efficacious interventions that are also apparently

INDIAN PEDIATRICS

OPTIONS TO IMPROVE ROUTINE IMMUNIZATION

EURECA

cost-effective, feasible and easy-to-integrate in the National routine immunization program, need not necessarily result in the same effectiveness. It must also be noted that many efficacious interventions may have outlived their usefulness owing to changes in information technology, economic progress and general development. Nevertheless, increasing community participation, involving nonprofessional health workers, creating vaccine demand through the mass media, introducing a reminder/recall system and increasing vaccine acceptability through pharmacological and nonpharmacological interventions to reduce undesirable side effects, are likely to be useful.

Funding: None.

Conflict of interest: None stated.

References

- Ryman TK, Dietz V, Cairns KL. Too little but not too late: Results of a literature review to improve routine immunization programs in developing countries. BMC Health Services Res 2008, 8: 134-144
- 2. Brugha RF, Kevany JP. Maximizing immunization coverage through home visits: a controlled trial in an urban area of Ghana. Bull WHO 1996; 74: 517-524.
- Calderón-Ortiz R, Mejía-Mejía J. Estrategia de contratación permanente dentro del Programa de Vacunación Universal (abstract only). Salud Pública de México 1996; 38: 243-248 [Spanish].
- 4. Schwartz JB, Bhushan I. Improving immunization equity through a public-private partnership in Cambodia. Bull WHO 2004; 82: 661-667.
- Anonymous. Expanded Program on Immunization: Study of feasibility, coverage and cost of maintenance immunization for children by district mobile teams in Kenya. Wkly Epid Rec 1977; 52: 197-204.
- 6. Oruamabo RS, Okoji GO. Immunisation status of children in Port Harcourt before and after commencing the Expanded Program on Immunisation. Public Health 1987; 101: 447-452.
- Cutts FT, Kortbeek S, Malalane R, Penicele P, Gingell K. Developing appropriate strategies for EPI: A case study from Mozambique. Health Pol Plan 1988; 3: 291-301.

- 8. Cutts FT, Phillips M, Kortbeek S, Soares A. Doorto-door canvassing for immunization program acceleration in Mozambique: Achievements and costs. Int J Health Services 1990; 20: 717-725.
- 9. Zimicki S, Hornik RC, Verzosa CC, Hernandez JR, deGuzman E, Dayrit M, *et al.* Improving vaccination coverage in urban areas through a health communication campaign: the 1990 Philippine experience. Bull WHO 1994; 72: 409-422.
- 10. Ekunwe EO: Expanding immunization coverage through improved clinic procedures. World Health Forum 1984; 5: 361-363.
- 11. Shefer A, Briss P, Rodewald L, Bernier R, Strikas R, Yusuf H, *et al.* Improving immunization coverage rates: An evidence-based review of the literature. Epidemiol Rev 1999; 21: 96-142.
- 12. Jacobson Vann JC, Szilagyi P. Patient reminder and recall systems to improve immunization rates. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2005; 3: CD003941.
- Ortega Molina P, Astasio Arbiza P, Albaladejo Vicente R, Arrazola Martínez P, Villanueva Orbáiz R, Ramón de Juanes Pardo J. Cold chain maintenance in vaccines: a systematic review. Gac Sanit 2007; 21: 343-348.
- 14. Matthias DM, Robertson J, Garrison MM, Newland S, Nelson C. Freezing temperatures in the vaccine cold chain: a systematic literature review. Vaccine 2007; 25: 3980-3986.
- 15. Schechter NL, Zempsky WT, Cohen LL, McGrath PJ, McMurtry M, Bright NS. Pain reduction during pediatric immunizations: evidence-based review and recommendations. Pediatrics 2007; 119: e1184-e1198
- Kleiber C, Harber DC. Effects of distraction on children's pain and distress during medical procedures: a meta-analysis. Nurs Res 1999; 48: 44-49.
- Manley J, Taddio A. Acetaminophen and ibuprofen for prevention of adverse reactions associated with childhood immunization. Ann Pharmacother 2007; 41: 1227-1232.
- 18. Grilli R, Freemantle N, Minozzi S, Domenighetti G, Finer D. Impact of mass media on the use of health services. A systematic review of the literature. Epidemiol Prev 1998; 22: 103-110.