COMPARISON OF WEIGHT-FOR-HEIGHT AND BMI-FOR-AGE FOR ESTIMATING OVERNUTRITION BURDEN IN UNDER-FIVE POPULATIONS WITH HIGH STUNTING PREVALENCE

AIM: To compare weight-for-height and BMI-for-age definitions for quantifying overnutrition burden.

POPULATIONS STATISTICAL ANALYSIS RESULTS Weight-for-height cut-offs were lower than BMI-for-age till 7-8 months, after which the cut-offs flipped. **Theoretical** Over-nutrition prevalence consequences of Prevalence comparison of possible risk of estimates were compared overweight (>1 SD) using weight-for-height and Possible risk of overweight ignoring age were between: BMI-for-age in NFHS-4, India dataset evaluated. two metrics for both the % Prevalence of sexes from 0-60 months in 13.1 **15** overnutrition was synthetic datasets (short, then compared in intermediate & tall 10 NFHS-4 simulated (stunted, populations) and 5 intermediate, & tall) three real-life datasets Weight-for-height BMI-for-Age and real-life from India (Meerut study, 0.5-5.0 0.0-5.0 datasets. NFHS-4 & CNNS). Age-groups (Years)

CONCLUSION: In under-five children, over-nutrition is under-estimated with weight-for-height in comparison to BMI-for-age in populations with high prevalence of stunting.

The relative invariance, with age and height, of BMI-for-age, favors its use.

Rajeev, et al. 2022

Indian Pediatrics

Official publication of Indian Academy of Pediatrics

