Exhaled Nitric Oxide Measurement in Pediatric Asthma
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Nitric oxide (NO) is a biological mediator produced by NO synthase (NOS) in airway epithelial cells, which diffuses into the airway lumen. In the lungs, NO determines smooth muscle relaxation, affects ciliary beat frequency, mucus secretion and plasma exudation, and is involved in inflammation and cell-mediated immunity processes [1]. Measurement of fractional exhaled NO (FENO) requires a series of continuous expiratory manoeuvres using an incentive device. However, the role of measuring FENO as a non-invasive biomarker to optimize asthma management in children is hotly debated.

Chronic airway inflammation is an important feature of asthma. FENO levels are increased in patients with asthma as a result of induction of NOS-2 by pro-inflammatory cytokines. Elevated FENO levels have been shown to correlate with increased eosinophils in blood, bronchoalveolar lavage fluid and sputum, suggesting that FENO reflects eosinophilic inflammation [2]. However, asthma is not a single disease and at least three adult phenotypes of airway inflammation have been identified on the basis of predominant eosinophilic, neutrophilic, or pauci-granulocytic cellular pattern [3].

Even though FENO is increased in children with allergic asthma [4], the obtained levels cannot discriminate among school children with non-allergic asthma. Furthermore, FENO can be elevated in patients who are atopic and not asthmatic. Levels of FENO appear increased in asthmatics with pollen allergy during the season even though there may be an absence of symptoms and normal lung function [5].

It had been postulated that tracking FENO may be helpful in managing asthma, as it improves after steroid treatment and may predict exacerbations in pollen sensitive asthma. However, it has been shown not to correlate with symptoms, and a meta-analysis [6] of three pediatric studies showed that FENO-guided treatment did not improve asthma outcomes.

The goal of long-term asthma treatment is to reduce airway inflammation and control symptoms. In this month’s journal, Raj, et al. [7] studied children with asthma to investigate whether FENO could predict an acute exacerbation or its severity. This paper addresses a very important issue in current asthma management, as FENO has been proposed as a biomarker, correlating well with levels of eosinophilic airway inflammation. Some papers have suggested its use for guiding pharmacotherapy. In everyday practice, however, it seems to be very helpful for some patients, while it does not correlate with clinical symptoms and lung function in others.

The authors studied 243 asthmatic children (mean age 99 months), with an average duration of follow up of 434 days (range 84 to 825 days). Patients were reviewed every 3 months and underwent spirometry, FENO, pulmonary symptom scores, skin prick tests to aeroallergens and blood eosinophil counts were measured. Although FENO levels increased during an exacerbation, the authors were unable to find a particular cut-off which could help in either diagnosing an acute asthma exacerbation or predicting its severity in children.

So, should the results of this and other recently published studies [8,9] discourage the clinician from using FENO as a routine marker for guiding management in this age group? If asthma symptoms and inflammation were concordant, measuring FENO would not likely be useful. It is only when they are discordant – that is inflammation is disproportionately greater than symptoms, or vice-versa – measuring FENO may prove beneficial. Clearly, measuring FENO or other inflammatory biomarkers is no substitute for doing the basics of asthma management correctly; as often, simple measures can improve symptoms and avoid escalation of treatment. Adherence must be optimized, co-morbidities treated, allergen load reduced to a minimum and inhaler technique regularly checked.
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Asthma is one of the most common chronic diseases in children. Acute exacerbations are not uncommon in children with asthma and many are hospitalized. Not surprisingly, hospitalizations for asthma are very common, accounting for 12-21% of hospitalizations worldwide [1]. Thus prevention of exacerbations, particularly severe ones, is one goal of good asthma management. The second component in asthma management is monitoring of asthma control, by both subjective and objective measures [2-4]. Subjective measures usually involve a series of questions used for clinical assessment, diary cards and quality of life (QoL) questionnaires. Traditional objective methods include peak flow meters, spirometry and degree of airway hyperresponsiveness (AHR). Newer and arguably more sensitive methods include measurement of airway inflammation such as airway cellularity in induced sputum or fractional exhaled nitric oxide (FENO). In asthma, inflammation can be eosinophilic or non-eosinophilic. In patients with eosinophilic inflammation, the use of inhaled corticosteroids (ICS) reduces exacerbations and improves symptoms and asthma control. FENO correlates with other markers of asthma eg. eosinophilia in induced sputum [5] and bronchial reactivity in non-steroid treated subjects [6].

The first study to use FENO as a biomarker was published by Dupont and colleagues [6] in 1998. They measured FENO in steroid treated and untreated adults with mild asthma. These levels correlated with the degree of AHR as measured by the dose of histamine required to produce a 20% decrease in forced expiratory volume in first second (FEV₁). The authors concluded that FENO levels reflect AHR in patients with mild asthma who were steroid naïve. The adults who were treated with ICS had similar FENO levels as the healthy controls [6].

As asthma in adults is not identical to that in children, data relating FENO and asthma control specific to children is important. There are many studies that have related FENO with various clinical aspects of asthma in children. Byrnes, et al. [7] recruited 39 children as...